

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

<b>In re:</b>  <b>W.R. GRACE &amp; CO., et al.,</b>  <b>Debtors.</b>	§ § § § § §	<b>Chapter 11</b>  <b>Jointly Administered</b> <b>Case No. 01-01139 (KJC)</b>
--	----------------------------	--

**FEE AUDITOR’S COMBINED FINAL REPORT REGARDING  
THOSE FEE APPLICATIONS WITH *DE MINIMIS* OR NO FEE OR EXPENSE  
ISSUES FOR THE FIFTY-FIRST INTERIM APPLICATION PERIOD**

This is the combined final report of Warren H. Smith & Associates, P.C., acting in its capacity as fee auditor in the above-captioned bankruptcy proceedings, regarding all the Interim Fee Applications of those firms for which we have *de minimis*<sup>1</sup> or no fee or expense issues for the Fifty-First Interim Application Period (collectively referred to hereafter as the “Applications”).<sup>2</sup>

**BACKGROUND**

1. Anderson Kill P.C. (“AK”) was retained as special insurance counsel to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. AK seeks approval of fees totaling \$269,831.50 and expenses totaling \$186.66 for its services during the Application Period.

2. Beveridge & Diamond, P.C. (“Beveridge & Diamond”), was retained as special counsel to the Debtors. Beveridge & Diamond seeks approval of fees totaling \$248,187.15 and

---

<sup>1</sup>For purposes of this report, applications with *de minimis* issues are those for which: (1) our recommended reductions total less than \$200.00, and (2) the applicant has agreed to our recommended reductions.

<sup>2</sup>The Fifty-First Interim Application Period encompasses October 1, 2013, through December 31, 2013 (the “Application Period”). All Applications are for the Fifty-First Interim Application Period, unless otherwise specified.

expenses totaling (\$1.71) for its services during the Application Period.

3. Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP (“Bilzin Sumberg”) was retained as counsel to the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants. Bilzin Sumberg seeks approval of fees totaling \$9,260.50 and expenses totaling \$123.77 for its services during the Application Period.

4. Blackstone Advisory Partners L.P. (“Blackstone”) was retained as financial advisor to the Debtors. Blackstone seeks approval of fixed fees totaling \$250,000.00<sup>3</sup> and expenses totaling \$1,261.96 for its services during the Application Period.<sup>4</sup>

5. BMC Group (“BMC”) was retained as Claims Reconciliation and Solicitation Consultant to the Debtors. BMC seeks approval of fees totaling \$140,822.50 and expenses totaling \$10,354.42 for its services during the Application Period.

6. Campbell & Levine, LLC (“Campbell & Levine”), was retained as Delaware and associated counsel to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. Campbell & Levine seeks approval of fees totaling \$34,481.50 and expenses totaling \$4,198.70 for its services during the Application Period.

7. Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered (“Caplin & Drysdale”), was retained as counsel to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. Caplin & Drysdale seeks approval of

---

<sup>3</sup>For the Application Period, Blackstone lists 327.00 hours worked, which computes to an effective hourly rate of \$764.53.

<sup>4</sup>Blackstone’s Application encompassed both the current Application Period, as well as the period of January 1, 2014 through February 3, 2014. In this report, we will address only the fees and expenses for the current Application Period and address the fees and expenses for January 1, 2014, through February 3, 2014, in conjunction with our review of Blackstone’s final fee application.

fees totaling \$69,764.50<sup>5</sup> and expenses totaling \$79.71 for its services during the Application Period.

8. Capstone Advisory Group, LLC (“Capstone”), was retained as financial advisor to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. Capstone seeks approval of fees totaling \$83,241.00 and expenses totaling \$147.21 for its services during the Application Period.

9. Casner & Edwards, LLP (“Casner”), was retained as special litigation counsel to the Debtors. Casner seeks approval of fees totaling \$46,128.00 and expenses totaling \$37,682.55 for its services during the Application Period.

10. Charter Oak Financial Consultants, LLC (“Charter Oak”), was retained as financial advisor to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. Charter Oak seeks approval of fees totaling \$27,861.00 and no expenses for its services during the Application Period.

11. Duane Morris LLP (“Duane Morris”) was retained as co-counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. Duane Morris seeks approval of fees totaling \$8,439.00 and expenses totaling \$715.10 for its services during the Application Period.

12. Ferry, Joseph & Pearce, P.A. (“Ferry Joseph”), was retained as counsel to the Official Committee of Asbestos Property Damage Claimants. Ferry Joseph seeks approval of fees totaling \$9,641.00 and expenses totaling \$1,126.68 for its services during the Application Period.

13. Foley Hoag LLP (“Foley Hoag”) was retained as special environmental counsel to the Debtors. Foley Hoag seeks approval of fees totaling \$118,640.50 and expenses totaling \$2,398.06 for its services during the Application Period.

14. Fragomen, Del Rey, Bernsen & Loewy LLP (“Fragomen”) was retained as

---

<sup>5</sup>We note for informational purposes that Caplin & Drysdale billed the time of attorney Elihu Inselbuch at \$1,000.00 per hour. During the current Application Period, Mr. Inselbuch billed 7.3 hours, for \$7,300.00 in fees.

immigration counsel to the Debtors. Fragomen seeks approval of fees totaling \$7,650.00 and expenses totaling \$535.35<sup>6</sup> for its services during the Application Period.

15. Roger Frankel was retained as Asbestos Personal Injury Future Claimants' Representative. Roger Frankel seeks approval of fees totaling \$136,265.25 and expenses totaling \$753.70 for his services during the Application Period.

16. The Law Offices of Roger J. Higgins, LLC ("Higgins"), was retained as co-counsel to the Debtors and Debtors-in-Possession. Higgins seeks approval of fees totaling \$197,647.50 and expenses totaling \$2,144.00 for its services during the Application Period.

17. The Hogan Firm ("THF") was retained as Delaware counsel to the Canadian Zonolite Attic Insulation ("ZAI") Claimants. THF seeks approval of fees totaling \$21,829.50 and expenses totaling \$944.57 for its services during the Application Period.

18. Kirkland & Ellis LLP ("K&E") was retained as counsel to the Debtors. K&E seeks approval of fees totaling \$1,165,709.50<sup>7</sup> and expenses totaling \$35,213.42 for its services during the Application Period.

19. Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP ("Kramer Levin") was retained as co-counsel to the Official Committee of Equity Holders. Kramer Levin seeks approval of fees totaling \$40,653.00 and expenses totaling \$529.11 for its services during the Application Period.

20. Lauzon Bélanger Lespérance ("Lauzon Bélanger") was retained as Canadian counsel

---

<sup>6</sup> We note that, although Fragomen requested expenses totaling \$531.35, we calculate Fragomen's expenses at \$571.35, for a difference of \$36.00 in favor of the estate.

<sup>7</sup>We note for informational purposes that Kirkland & Ellis billed the time of partners, Christopher Landau, P.C. ("Landau PC"), and Todd F. Maynes, P.C. ("Maynes PC"), at \$1,150.00 per hour. During the Application Period, Landau PC billed 0.30 hours for fees of \$345.00, and Maynes PC billed 8.30 hours for fees of \$9,545.00.

to the Canadian ZAI Claimants. Lauzon Bélanger seeks approval of fees totaling CDN \$2,519.40 and expenses totaling CDN \$381.31 for its services during the Application Period.

21. Legal Analysis Systems, Inc. (“LAS”), was retained as asbestos-related bodily injury consultant to the Official Committee of Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants. LAS seeks approval of fees totaling \$28,976.00 and no expenses for its services during the Application Period.

22. Lincoln Partners Advisors LLC (“Lincoln”) was retained as financial advisor to David T. Austern, the Asbestos Personal Injury Future Claimants’ Representative. Lincoln seeks approval of fixed fees totaling \$90,000.00<sup>8</sup> and expenses totaling \$893.46 for its services during the Application Period.

23. Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP (“Orrick”) was retained as bankruptcy counsel to David T. Austern, Future Claimants’ Representative. Orrick seeks approval of fees totaling \$334,783.50 and expenses totaling \$7,959.95 for its services during the Application Period.

24. Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP (“Pachulski”) was retained as counsel to the Debtors. Pachulski seeks approval of fees totaling \$68,119.00 and expenses totaling \$31,542.45 for its services during the Application Period.

25. Phillips, Goldman & Spence, P.A. (“PG&S”), was retained as local counsel to Roger Frankel, the Personal Injury Future Claimants’ Representative. PG&S seeks approval of fees totaling \$5,923.50 and expenses totaling \$876.81 for its services during the Application Period.

26. Reed Smith LLP (“Reed Smith”) was retained as special asbestos products liability defense counsel to the Debtors. Reed Smith seeks approval of fees totaling \$42,037.50 and expenses

---

<sup>8</sup>For the Application Period, Lincoln lists 125.40 hours worked, which computes to an effective hourly rate of \$717.70.

totaling \$1,373.84 for its services during the Application Period.

27. Alan B. Rich was retained as counsel to the Honorable Alexander M. Sanders, Jr., the Legal Representative for Future Asbestos-Related Property Damage Claimants. Alan B. Rich seeks approval of fees totaling \$37,575.00 and expenses totaling \$679.48 for his services during the Application Period.

28. The Honorable Alexander M. Sanders, Jr. ("Judge Sanders"), was retained as the Legal Representative for Future Asbestos-Related Property Damage Claimants. Judge Sanders seeks approval of fees totaling \$3,375.00 and no expenses for his services during the Application Period.

29. Saul Ewing LLP ("Saul Ewing") was retained as co-counsel to the Official Committee of Equity Holders. Saul Ewing seeks approval of fees totaling \$21,180.00 and expenses totaling \$494.12 for its services during the Application Period.

30. Scarfone Hawkins LLP ("Scarfone Hawkins") was retained as Canadian counsel to the Canadian ZAI Claimants. Scarfone Hawkins seeks approval of fees totaling CDN \$8,153.75 and expenses totaling CDN \$1,061.74 for its services during the Application Period.

31. Stroock & Stroock & Lavan, LLP ("Stroock"), was retained as counsel to the Official Committee of Unsecured Creditors. Stroock seeks approval of fees totaling \$22,843.50 and expenses totaling \$321.52 for its services during the Application Period.

32. Towers Watson was retained as actuarial consultant to Roger Frankel, Future Claimants Representative, by order of the Court dated December 17, 2013, *nunc pro tunc* to November 15, 2013. Towers Watson seeks approval of fees totaling \$26,275.50 and no expenses for its services during the Application Period.

33. Woodcock Washburn LLP ("Woodcock Washburn") was retained as special litigation

counsel to the Debtors. Woodcock Washburn seeks approval of fees totaling \$6,262.50 and no expenses for its services during the Application Period.

34. In conducting this audit and reaching the conclusions and recommendations contained herein, we reviewed in detail the Applications in their entirety, including each of the time and expense entries included in the exhibits to the Applications, for compliance with 11 U.S.C. § 330, Local Rule 2016-2 of the Local Rules of the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, Amended Effective February 1, 2014, and the United States Trustee Guidelines for Reviewing Applications for Compensation and Reimbursement of Expenses Filed Under 11 U.S.C. § 330, Issued January 30, 1996 (the "Guidelines"), as well as for consistency with precedent established in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the United States District Court for the District of Delaware, and the Third Circuit Court of Appeals.

### **DISCUSSION**

35. We have no issues with or objections to any of the Applications, except for the *de minimis* issues noted in the following paragraph and accompanying footnotes.

### **CONCLUSION**

36. In summary, for the Application Period, we recommend approval of the following fees and expenses for these Applicants:

- a. AK - \$269,831.50 in fees and \$186.66 in expenses;
- b. Beveridge & Diamond - \$248,187.15 in fees and (\$1.71) in expenses;
- c. Bilzin Sumberg - \$9,260.50 in fees and \$123.77 in expenses;
- d. Blackstone - \$250,000.00 in fees and \$1,261.96 in expenses;
- e. BMC - \$140,822.50 in fees and \$10,354.42 in expenses;

- f. Campbell & Levine - \$34,481.50 in fees and \$4,198.70 in expenses;
- g. Caplin & Drysdale - \$69,764.50 in fees and \$79.71 in expenses;
- h. Capstone - \$83,241.00 in fees and \$147.21 in expenses;
- i. Casner - \$46,128.00 in fees and \$37,682.55 in expenses;
- j. Charter Oak - \$27,861.00 in fees;
- k. Duane Morris - \$8,439.00 in fees and \$715.10 in expenses;
- l. Ferry Joseph - \$9,641.00 in fees and \$1,126.68 in expenses;
- m. Foley Hoag - \$118,640.50 in fees and \$2,398.06 in expenses;
- n. Fragomen - \$7,650.00 in fees and \$535.35 in expenses;
- o. Frankel - \$136,265.25 in fees and \$753.70 in expenses;
- p. Higgins - \$197,647.50 in fees and \$2,144.00 in expenses;
- q. THF - \$21,829.50 in fees and \$944.57 in expenses;
- r. K&E - \$1,165,709.50 in fees and \$35,213.42 in expenses;<sup>9</sup>
- s. Kramer Levin - \$40,563.50 in fees (\$40,653.00 minus \$89.50)<sup>10</sup> and \$529.11

---

<sup>9</sup>We questioned K&E concerning certain time and expense entries and received a response which satisfied our inquiry. See Appendix A.

<sup>10</sup>This \$89.50 reduction is for the following time entry in which the time billed exceeds the time recorded within the work description by 0.1 hour:

11/26/13	PB	3.50	3,132.50	Review/analyze Lender appeal and plan consummation issues (1.2), and discs A. Paul (.3), J. Brody (.4) and D. Blabey (.2) re same; review and edit draft stip re Lenders Appeals and trade e-mails re same (1.3).
----------	----	------	----------	---

In response to our query, Kramer Levin agreed to a reduction of \$89.50 in fees.



in expenses;

t. Lauzon Bélanger - CDN \$2,519.40 in fees and CDN \$381.31 in expenses;

u. LAS - \$28,976.00 in fees;

v. Lincoln - \$90,000.00 in fees and \$702.16 in expenses (\$893.46 minus \$191.30);<sup>11</sup>

w. Orrick - \$334,783.50 in fees and \$7,959.95 in expenses;<sup>12</sup>

x. Pachulski - \$68,119.00 in fees and \$31,542.45 in expenses;

y. PG&S - \$5,923.50 in fees and \$876.81 in expenses;

z. Reed Smith - \$42,037.50 in fees and \$1,373.84 in expenses;

aa. Rich - \$37,575.00 in fees and \$679.48 in expenses;

bb. Judge Sanders - \$3,375.00 in fees;

cc. Saul Ewing - \$21,180.00 in fees and \$494.12 in expenses;

dd. Scarfone Hawkins - CDN \$8,153.75 in fees and CDN \$1,061.74 in expenses;

ee. Stroock - \$22,843.50 in fees and \$321.52 in expenses;

---

<sup>11</sup>This agreed \$191.30 reduction is for the following car service charge:

\$382.67	Travel - Car Service      July 8, 2013 Trip to Washington, DC for Meeting with FCR
----------	--

We asked Lincoln about this expense, and Lincoln responded: “That was ... service (for a) round trip from Ossining, NY to LGA airport. The UST office raised a similar question and we have agreed to reduce it in half.” Lincoln also provided us with a copy of its correspondence with the U.S. Trustee’s Office in which they had agreed to a reduction of \$191.30. We appreciate this information and note that a reduction of \$191.30 would bring the charge below the cost of a taxi for the same trip. Thus, we recommend a reduction of \$191.30 in expenses.

<sup>12</sup>We noted that the expense detail was missing from Orrick’s Application. Orrick supplied its expense detail in response to our request. See Appendix B. We reviewed Orrick’s expenses and have no objection to same.

- ff. Towers Watson - \$26,275.50 in fees; and
- gg. Woodcock Washburn - \$6,262.50 in fees.

Respectfully submitted,

**WARREN H. SMITH & ASSOCIATES, P.C.**



By: \_\_\_\_\_

Warren H. Smith

Texas State Bar No. 18757050

2235 Ridge Road, Suite 105

Rockwall, Texas 75087

214-698-3868

214-722-0081 (fax)

whsmith@whsmithlaw.com

**FEE AUDITOR**

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing document has been served by First Class United States mail to the attached service list on this 14th day of May, 2014.



\_\_\_\_\_  
Warren H. Smith

## SERVICE LIST

### Notice Parties

#### The Applicants

Robert Y. Chung  
Anderson Kill P.C.  
1251 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10020-1182

Pamela D. Marks  
Beveridge & Diamond, P.C.  
201 N. Charles Street  
Suite 2210  
Baltimore, MD 21201

Scott L. Baena  
Jay M. Sakalo  
Bilzin Sumberg Baena Price & Axelrod LLP  
1450 Brickell Avenue, Suite 2300  
Miami, FL 33131

John James O'Connell III  
Managing Director  
Blackstone Advisory Partners L.P.  
345 Park Avenue  
New York, NY 10154

Myrtle H. John  
BMC Group  
600 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue, Suite 300  
Seattle, Washington 98104

Marla R. Eskin  
Mark T. Hurford  
Kathleen Campbell Davis  
Campbell & Levine, LLC  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1620  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Elihu Inselbuch  
Rita C. Tobin  
Caplin & Drysdale, Chartered  
600 Lexington Avenue at 52nd Street  
21<sup>st</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10022

Edwin N. Ordway, Jr.  
Capstone Advisory Group, LLC  
Park 80 West  
250 Pehle Avenue, Suite 105  
Saddle Brook, NJ 07663

Robert A. Murphy  
Casner & Edwards, LLP  
303 Congress Street  
Boston, MA 02210

James P. Sinclair  
Charter Oak Financial Consultants, LLC  
430 Center Avenue  
Mamaroneck, NY 10543

Michael R. Lastowski  
Richard W. Riley  
Duane Morris LLP  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1600  
Wilmington, DE 19801

Michael B. Joseph  
Theodore J. Tacconelli  
Lisa L. Coggins  
Ferry, Joseph & Pearce, P.A.  
824 Market Street, Suite 1000  
P. O. Box 1351  
Wilmington, DE 19899

Seth D. Jaffe  
Foley Hoag LLP  
Seaport World Trade Center West  
155 Seaport Boulevard  
Boston, MA 02210-2600

Enrique Gonzalez, III  
Tatiana Bessonova  
Fragomen, Del Rey, Bernsen & Loewy LLP  
One Alhambra Plaza, Suite 600  
Coral Gables, FL 33134

Roger Frankel  
Asbestos PI Future Claimants' Representative  
c/o Frankel Wyron LLP  
2101 L Street, N.W., Suite 800  
Washington, DC 20037

Roger J. Higgins  
The Law Offices of Roger Higgins, LLC  
1 North Bishop Street, Suite 14  
Chicago, IL 60607

Daniel K. Hogan  
The Hogan Firm  
1311 Delaware Avenue  
Wilmington, DE 19806

John Donley  
Adam C. Paul  
Kirkland & Ellis LLP  
300 N. LaSalle Street  
Chicago, IL 60654

Philip Bentley  
David E. Blabey, Jr.  
Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel LLP  
1177 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036

Mark A. Peterson  
Legal Analysis Systems, Inc.  
970 Calle Arroyo  
Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Jason Solganick  
Lincoln Partners Advisors LLC  
360 Madison Avenue, 21st Floor  
New York, NY 10017

Debra L. Felder  
Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP  
Columbia Center  
1152 15th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20005

James E. O'Neill  
Laura Davis Jones  
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP  
919 North Market Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
P.O. Box 8705  
Wilmington, DE 19899-8705

John C. Phillips, Jr.  
Phillips, Goldman & Spence, P.A.  
1200 North Broom Street  
Wilmington, DE 19806

Kurt F. Gwynne  
Reed Smith LLP  
1201 Market Street, Suite 1500  
Wilmington, DE 19801

James J. Restivo, Jr.  
Douglas E. Cameron  
Reed Smith Centre  
225 Fifth Avenue, Suite 1200  
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Alan B. Rich  
4244 Renaissance Tower  
1201 Elm Street  
Dallas, TX 75270

Teresa K. D. Currier  
Saul Ewing LLP  
222 Delaware Avenue  
P.O. Box 1266  
Wilmington, DE 19899

Denise Wildes  
Stroock & Stroock & Lavan  
180 Maiden Lane  
New York, NY 10038-4982

Jeffrey D. Kimble  
Towers Watson  
101 S. Hanley Rd.  
St. Louis, MO 63105

Gary H. Levin  
Woodcock Washburn LLP  
Cira Centre, 12<sup>th</sup> Floor  
2929 Arch Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891

**The Debtors**

Richard Finke  
Assistant General Counsel  
W.R. Grace & Co.  
7500 Grace Drive  
Columbia, MD 21044

**United States Trustee**

Richard Schepacarter  
Office of the United States Trustee  
844 King Street, Suite 2311  
Wilmington, DE 19801

**APPENDIX A****(K&E)**

1. We noted that between October 19, 2013, and October 21, 2013, attorney Nia Dukov (\$715) spent 10.30 hours for fees of \$7,364.50 on researching potential recovery of attorney's fees.

10/18/13	ND	6.30	Legal research re potential recovery of attorneys' fees by lenders.
10/20/13	ND	3.60	Research re potential recovery of attorneys' fees by lenders.
10/21/13	ND	0.40	Legal research re potential recovery of attorneys' fees by lenders.

We asked K&E to explain what was done with this research and how it was used for the benefit of the estate, and K&E responded:

... This research was related to the appeal by the Debtors' prepetition bank lenders. As part of this appeal, the lenders claimed millions of dollars of fees allegedly incurred throughout the pendency of these Chapter 11 Cases and recoverable under the plain language of the loan documents. Both the amount and recoverability of these fees were disputed by the Debtors. This research was needed in order to successfully negotiate a settlement with the lenders that included both a settlement of the amounts allegedly owed under the loan documents and the requested attorney's fees. The Debtors reached an equitable settlement with the lenders on December 23, 2013, thereby resolving the last major obstacle to the effectiveness of the Debtors' plan of reorganization. Given the importance of the appeal and the necessity of this research in order to stake out an appropriate negotiating position, K&E respectfully represents that this research was very beneficial to the estate.

We accept K&E's response and have no objection to these fees.

2. We noted that between November 4, 2013, and November 15, 2013, attorneys Ryan M. Hehner (\$430), Jeffrey Gettleman (\$835), and Adam C. Paul (\$895), as well as legal assistants Daniel Hill (\$190), Andrew Brniak (\$250), and Carrie Sroka (\$275), spent a total of 61.50 hours and \$32,255.00 in fees researching and preparing the Project Lantern fee motion. See Appendix A-1.

We asked K&E why this motion took so much time to complete, and K&E responded:

... Payment of the fee described in the Fee Motion was the culmination of a successful strategic acquisition that was critical to the Debtors' long-term operational goals. Pursuant to an agreement entered into by the Debtors, the Debtors were to pay \$5 million plus expenses to Blackstone Advisory Partners L.P. ("Blackstone") for its services with respect to the acquisition. Preparing the novel Fee Motion on the expedited time frame requested by the Debtors and Blackstone required K&E to, in part: (a) understand the mechanics of the acquisition as well as Blackstone's agreement with and services to the Debtors; (b) coordinate with Blackstone on a daily basis to resolve issues related to Blackstone's corporate structure and draft appropriate language; (c) coordinate with local Delaware counsel to ensure an order approving the relief sought in the Fee Motion was entered as quickly as possible; and (d) research numerous legal issues relevant to the Fee Motion, including indemnification standards and confidentiality issues. Given the breadth of issues involved with the Fee Motion and the importance to the Debtors of promptly paying the fee, K&E respectfully represents that both the amount of time and the requested fees associated with the Fee Motion were reasonable and necessary.

We accept K&E's response and have no objection to these fees.

3. We noted the following meal expense for which more information was needed:

12/22/2013	175.62	Seamless North America Inc., Catering Services, A. Paul, Lunch Meeting, 12/19/2013
------------	--------	---

In response to our request, K&E provided the following information concerning this charge:

... This meal expense was for a luncheon attended by ten people, and was incurred in Washington, D.C. This meal relates to a meeting with representatives from the Debtors, the Asbestos PI Committee, the Asbestos PI Future Claimants Representative, and the Equity Committee (collectively, the "Plan Proponents") to review drafts of the numerous updated closing documents involved with the impending effective date of the Plan. Review of these documents with the Plan Proponents was the next necessary step toward the effectiveness of the Plan.

We accept K&E's response and have no objection to this expense.<sup>13</sup>

4. We noted the following air fare expenses for which more information was needed:

10/21/13	725.80	John Donley, Airfare, Baltimore, MD, 11/06/2013 to 11/06/2013, Attend Board meeting
----------	--------	--

---

<sup>13</sup>We also note that the cost of the meal was less than our recommended lunch cap for Washington, D.C., of \$45.00 per person.

10/24/13	680.60	Adam Paul, Airfare, Baltimore, MD, 11/06/2013 to 11/07/2013, Meeting
12/03/13	754.99	Adam Paul, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013, Meeting
12/12/13	841.70	J. Michael Jones, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013, Client Meetings
12/13/13	1,211.80	Jeffrey Gettleman, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013, Meeting with Client

In response to our request, K&E provided the following information concerning each expense:

Amount	Description	Departure Airport	Destination Airport	Class of Fare	One-Way or Round Trip
725.80	John Donley, Airfare, Baltimore, MD, 11/06/2013 to 11/06/2013	O'Hare - Chicago	BWI - Baltimore	Economy	Round Trip
680.60	Adam Paul, Airfare, Baltimore, MD, 11/06/2013 to 11/07/2013	O'Hare - Chicago	BWI - Baltimore	Economy	Round Trip
754.99	Adam Paul, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013	O'Hare - Chicago	Ronald Reagan National - DC	Economy	Round Trip
841.70	J. Michael Jones, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013	O'Hare - Chicago	Ronald Reagan National - DC	Economy	Round Trip
1,211.80	Jeffrey Gettleman, Airfare, Washington, DC, 12/18/2013 to 12/19/2013	O'Hare - Chicago	Ronald Reagan National - DC	Economy	Round Trip

We accept K&E's response and have no objection to these expenses.

5. We noted total charges of \$13,691.15 for color copies or prints on the December 2013 monthly fee application, with one charge of \$8,527.20 on 12/19/2013. As this was an unusually large charge for color prints, we asked K&E to confirm that this amount was not billed to the estate

in error. K&E responded:

Please let this letter serve as confirmation that K&E has reviewed its relevant records and confirms that this amount was not billed in error. This charge is related to the voluminous closing documents to be reviewed at the meeting described above. The documents were printed in color in order to ease the review of changes that had been made to the documents.

We appreciate this information and have no objection to these expenses.



**Appendix A-1**

11/04/2013	Ryan M Hehner	.70	Review precedent re Project Lantern fee motion.
11/04/2013	Daniel Hill	.70	Research re precedent re authorization of separate fees.
11/05/2013	Ryan M Hehner	1.80	Review precedent re Project Lantern fee (1.6);.....
11/05/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	.50	Correspond with A. Paul and R. Hehner re Project Lantern fee.
11/05/2013	Adam C Paul	.40	Correspond with M. Shelnitz re Project Lantern fee.
11/06/2013	Ryan M Hehner	.90	Research and review precedent re Project Lantern fee motion (.8); correspond with J. Gettleman re same (.1).
11/06/2013	Andrew Brniak	2.00	Prepare and compile precedent re Blackstone retention materials and motions authorizing separate transaction fees (1.9);.....
11/07/2013	Ryan M Hehner	5.10	Research re Project Lantern fee motion (4.8);.....
11/07/2013	Andrew Brniak	.40	Prepare and compile precedent re Blackstone retention materials (3);.....
11/08/2013	Carrie Sroka	1.30	Compile precedent re U.S. Trustee objections to Blackstone retention (.9); review case docket re protective order and related seal diligence (.4).
11/08/2013	Ryan M Hehner	7.10	Review and revise Project Lantern motion (2.9); research precedent re same (3.6); telephone conference and correspond with working group re same (6).
11/08/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	2.60	Correspond with working group re Project Lantern fee (1.8); telephone and office conferences with R. Hehner re same (.8).
11/08/2013	Adam C Paul	1.30	Review Blackstone motion (.9);.....
11/09/2013	Ryan M Hehner	6.10	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (5.7);...

11/09/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	2.90	Correspond working group re Project Lantern fee motion (2.1); review and revise same (.8).
11/10/2013	Ryan M Hehner	3.20	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (2.9);....
11/10/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	2.40	Correspond with A. Paul, J. O'Connell and R. Hehner re Project Lantern motion (1.5); review and revise same (9).
11/10/2013	Adam C Paul	1.70	Review and revise Project Lantern motion.
11/11/2013	Ryan M Hehner	6.90	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (3.4); correspond with working group re same (6); research re precedent for fee rate (2.9).
11/12/2013	Carrie Sroka	.40	Compile precedent re orders approving professional retention.
11/12/2013	Ryan M Hehner	4.00	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (3.8); correspond with working group re same (.2).
11/12/2013	Andrew Brniak	1.10	Revise and review Blackstone Project Lantern fee motion (.9); correspond with R. Hehner re same (.2).
11/12/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	2.80	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (.8); correspond with J. O'Connell and R. Hehner re same (1.2); office and telephone conferences with working group re same (8).
11/12/2013	Adam C Paul	.90	Review Project Lantern fee motion.
11/13/2013	Ryan M Hehner	2.50	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (2.1); correspond with working group re same (.4).
11/14/2013	Ryan M Hehner	1.30	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (1.1); correspond with working group re same (.1); telephone conference with J. Gettleman re same (.1).
11/14/2013	Jeffrey Gettleman	1.20	Review and revise Project Lantern fee motion (.4); correspond with working group re same (.7); telephone conference with R. Hehner re same
11/15/2013	Ryan M Hehner	1.10	Correspond with working group re Project Lantern fee motion.